

REMARKS

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The Office Action dated May 15, 2009, has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

Claim 8 is rejected by the Examiner. With this response, claim 8 has been amended. No new matter has been added. Accordingly, claim 8 is currently pending, of which claims 1-7 are withdrawn as the result of an earlier restriction requirement. Reexamination and reconsideration of the pending claims is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claims 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0013920 to Hashimoto et al (hereinafter “Hashimoto”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,277,333 to Shimano (hereinafter “Shimano”) and Japanese Patent Publication No. 2001-356353 to Hachiman et al. (hereinafter “Hachiman”).

The rejection of claim 8 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph and second paragraph, is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested. Since Applicants have amended independent claim 8, Applicant respectfully submits that this rejection is traversed.

The rejection of claim 8 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto in view Shimano and Hachiman is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested.

Claim 8 is allowable at least in that this claim recites a combination of elements, including, for example, “providing a first substrate and a second substrate, the first substrate including a plurality of thin film transistors, pixel electrodes, and a common voltage line, the second substrate including a color filter layer and a common electrode”, “repeatedly dispensing the silver using the syringe filled with the silver onto the common voltage line of the first substrate-by supplying intermediate flow amounts of gas to the syringe and moving the table on which the first substrate is loaded” and “connecting the common voltage line of the first substrate and the common electrode of the second substrate through the silver.” None of the cited

references, singly or in combination, teaches or suggests at least these features of the claimed invention.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 8 is allowable over the cited references.

Applicants believe the above amendments and remarks place the application in condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited.

If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at 202-496-7500 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911.

Dated: August 17, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Michael P. Alexander
Registration No.: 50,961
McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 496-7500
Attorneys for Applicant